Appendix A

Transportation Element Consistency Certification

Transportation Element Consistency Certification Report

Yakima Valley Conference of Governments

Date: January 18, 2017 Jurisdiction: City of Selah

The YVCOG staff has reviewed the City of Selah's draft Transportation Element for consistency with the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA), in accordance with RCW 36.70a.070. The draft plan is consistent with 10 out of 11 elements of the consistency review checklist (attached). One deficient area will be addressed at the next plan update after completion of the YVCOG regional travel demand model.

YVCOG Transportation Policy Board certifies that the City of Selah's Transportation Element conforms to the requirements of the GMA.

Sincerely,

YVCOG Executive Director

cc: Matt Kunic, WSDOT HQ

Transportation Element Consistency Review Process for the City of Selah 1/18/2017

Yakima Valley Conference of Governments



INTRODUCTION

This document describes a process that allows Yakima Valley Regional Transportation Planning Organization (Yakima Valley RTPO) to certify the consistency of transportation elements of local comprehensive plans. This certification is based on the Growth Management Act (GMA) requirements adopted in the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) and the Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

BACKGROUND

Section 47.80.023 of the RCW requires all transportation elements of local comprehensive plans undergo a consistency review to ensure that they conform to the requirements of the GMA. The GMA states that this process is to be developed and administered by Regional Transportation Planning Organizations (RTPOs). Yakima Valley Conference of Governments (YVCOG) is the designated lead planning agency for the Yakima Valley RTPO.

The WAC's procedural criteria for adopting comprehensive plans. <u>Chapter 365-196 WAC</u> reiterates sections of the RCWs and recommends further steps to meet the requirements.

CONFORMITY WITH THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT

The GMA conformity requirement directs RTPOs to certify that the transportation elements of comprehensive plans conform to the appropriate requirements of <u>RCW 36.70A.070</u>, and recommends steps to meet the RCW requirements in <u>WAC 365-196-430</u>.

THE REVISED CODE OF WASHINGTON

Required transportation-related elements listed in RCW 36.70A.070 are:

- 1. Land use assumptions used in estimating travel;
- 2. Estimated traffic impacts to state owned transportation facilities and services;
- 3. Facilities and service's needs:
 - a. Inventory of transportation facilities and services;
 - b. Level of service standards;
 - c. Compliance with level of service standards;
 - d. Specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally owned transportation facilities or services that are below an established LOS standard;
 - e. Ten year traffic forecast;
 - f. Identification of system needs to meet current and future demands.
- 4. Finance:
 - a. Analysis of funding capability;
 - b. Multi-year finance plan, basis for six year program;
 - c. Funding shortfalls.
- 5. Intergovernmental coordination:
- 6. Demand management strategies; and,
- 7. Pedestrian and bicycle planning.

WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RECOMMENDATIONS

The WAC 365-196-430 recommends further steps to meet the above requirements.

- 1. Incorporate a discussion concerning regional development strategies which promote the regional transportation plan and an efficient transportation system.
- 2. Jurisdictions should assess the impacts of their transportation and land use decisions on adjacent jurisdictions. Impacts of those decisions should be identified and discussion of strategies to address inconsistencies should be included.
- 3. Traffic forecasts should be based on adopted regional growth strategies, the regional transportation plan, and comprehensive plans within the region to ensure consistency between jurisdictions. The

forecast of at least ten years of travel demand should include vehicular, transit and non-motorized modes of transportation.

PROCESS FOR CERTIFICATION

The consistency review will be completed by Yakima Valley RTPO staff and representatives of member jurisdictions through the Transportation Technical Advisory Committees (TAC). The TAC will recommend approval of certification to the YVCOG Transportation Policy Board. If the plan is consistent, a certification letter from the Policy Board Chair will be sent to the local jurisdiction.

A checklist will be used to determine where there is consistency and where there is not. There is a comment section for each checklist item to help clarify what is inconsistent or to provide positive feedback about supportive efforts.

A four-step certification review of local transportation elements is proposed:

- 1. Preliminary review will be performed by YVCOG staff. The checklist will be used as an aid in conducting the preliminary certification review. Any inconsistencies or potential problems across jurisdictional boundaries would be noted at this time.
- 2. YVCOG staff will prepare an overall certification report that addresses all of the individual elements from a checklist. The staff certification report will then be reviewed by the Transportation TAC.
- 3. Following the review by the TAC, the final report will be sent to the YVCOG Transportation Policy Board and a recommendation will be presented for action.
- 4. After action by the Transportation Policy Board, a copy of the final report will be forwarded to the jurisdiction.

If inconsistencies and/or problems are identified, discussions will first occur between YVCOG staff and the jurisdiction's staff. If issues cannot be resolved at this level, the discussion will next take place with the Transportation TAC. Any unresolved issues from the TAC level will then be discussed by the YVCOG Transportation Policy Board for consideration about certification.

The review process will be accomplished within sixty days of receipt of the Transportation Element as proposed by the jurisdiction's staff. Once the local transportation elements are certified, they remain certified until they are amended or updated. Revised transportation elements would require recertification.

TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT CONSISTENCY REVIEW CHECKLIST

The following checklist is used to evaluate local plans' transportation elements for conformity with state law. It is based primarily on requirements of the GMA, as described in RCW 36.70A.070. Additional appropriate factors have been drawn from the Washington State Department of Transportation checklist, and the WAC Procedural Criteria.

1.	Yes Yes	🛛 No	Were land use assumptions used in estimating travel	?
----	---------	------	---	---

YVCOG manages the regional travel demand model. The regional travel demand model uses land use assumptions from every jurisdiction as an input to the travel demand model. The Countywide model is being updated and is not available for traffic analysis at this time however, Selah and each jurisdiction will provide land use and infrastructure information for the year 2015 for model development; land use and infrastructure information for use with analyzing affects created by implementation of the TIP; and land use and infrastructure information for the year 2040 for use with analyzing affects created by implementation of the M/RTP. For this update, YVCOG used actual traffic counts and a factor of 1.5% compounded VMT growth per year to provide an estimate of anticipated traffic consistent with

current and future land use and modeling results from the last calibrated and validated modeling set. (3-22 through 3-23, V. Traffic Forecasts, Forecasted Traffic Volumes).				
2. Xes No Does the inventory of transportation facilities and services include all transportation modes, such as automobiles, transit, truck/freight, rail, air, pedestrian, and bicycle?				
Selah's Transportation Element provides discussion about auto/truck/freight, transit, rail, air, pedestrian and bicycle modes and infrastructure or services (3-5 through 3-9, III. Transportation Network Characteristics).				
3. X Yes No Have LOS Standards been established for all arterials, including the state highways and transit routes?				
Substantially yes. Transit route LOS has not been established for the City of Selah. There is transit service operated by City of Yakima for the City of Selah and connecting the cities of Yakima and Selah. YVCOG staff anticipates that Transit LOS will be addressed as Performance Measures are developed according to FAST Act. Preliminary discussions have already begun between YVCOG and Yakima Transit staff on this subject. The deficiency is included in the Transportation Element (3-24, VI. Existing Deficiencies, Future Needs and Alternatives). Other LOS standards have been established (3-11 through 3-16, IV. Road Characteristics, Level of Service).				
4. Yes No Are LOS standards regionally coordinated and consistent with adjacent jurisdictions? Selah's Transportation Element leads the reader through Federal Functional classification discussion, through idealized urban and rural roadway capacities and then explains how LOS is determined for the roadway using a federal standardized measure in a regionally utilized reference called the Highway Capacity Manual. The specific analysis used volume/capacity ratio is regionally consistent (3-11 through 3-16, IV. Road Characteristics).				
5.				
Deficiencies identified and potential strategies to address the deficiency are included under each heading throughout the Transportation Element and a general section is included to introduce Selah's Transportation Improvement Program (3-24, VI. Existing Deficiencies, Future Needs and Alternatives).				
6. Yes No Does the plan contain a multi-year financial plan based on the needs identified which will serve as the basis of the six-year street, road, or transit plan?				
Selah's Transportation Element contains the multi-year Transportation Improvement Program road plan. Although there is transit service in the City of Selah the service is contracted through the City of Yakima's Yakima Transit. There is discussion in the Selah Transportation Element about federal, state, and local funding sources for current and future transportation programs/plans/projects (3-25 through 3-26, VI. Existing Deficiencies, Future Needs and Alternatives).				

7. Yes No Does the plan contain goal statements to ensure mitigation of development impacts so affected facilities meet concurrency requirements?					
The City of Selah's Transportation Element contains goal statements and policies about planning for minimizing development caused impacts. (3-28 through 3-33, IX. Goals and Policies)					
8. Yes No Is the 10-year traffic forecast consistent with the adopted land use plan?					
The City of Selah's Transportation Element acknowledges the needed consistency between the Transportation Element and the Land Use Element in the comprehensive plan (4-3, I. Introduction, Transportation Element Certification and Relationship to Other Elements).					
9. Yes No Are goal statements incorporated into the plan to accommodate the impacts related to development?					
The City of Selah's Transportation Element contains goal statements and policies about planning for minimizing development caused impacts. (3-28 through 3-33, IX. Goals and Policies)					
10. Yes No Does the plan address coordination with adjacent jurisdictions to determine land uses within the adjacent jurisdictions that would affect local traffic patterns?					
The City of Selah's Transportation Element was written acknowledging the need for its comprehensive plan to be consistent with associated Countywide Planning Policies (3-4, Applicable Countywide Planning Policies). Additionally, the YVCOG regional travel demand model uses land use assumptions from every jurisdiction as an input to the travel demand model. The Countywide model is being updated and is not available for traffic analysis at this time however, Selah and each jurisdiction will provide land use and infrastructure information for the year 2015 for model development; land use and infrastructure information for the year 2020 for use with analyzing affects created by implementation of the TIP; and land use and infrastructure information for the year 2040 for use with analyzing affects created by implementation of the M/RTP. By modeling current and future projects and land uses throughout the County in one comprehensive model set, local traffic pattern affects caused by land uses and proposed projects within or between communities can be identified and mitigated if needed.					
11. Yes No Does the plan address current and future coordination with state, regional, and local interests as part of the planning efforts?					
Selah's Transportation Element covers the need for current and future coordination with state, regional, and local interests in the Introduction section. (3-2 through 3-4, I. Introduction).					

Transportation TAC Motion For Recommendation Of Consistency Review Checklist

THE YVCOG TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FINDS THAT THE CITY OF SELAH'S TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT IS IN SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS OF THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT. IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT ANY PARTICULAR PART OF THE PLAN THAT HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED TO NOT BE IN COMPLIANCE WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT UPDATE OF THE PLAN.

1.11	
Providence of the Table Of the Control of the Contr	<u>1/5/2017</u>
Transportation TAC Chairman	Date

Transportation Policy Board Motion For Approval Of Consistency Review Checklist

THE YVCOG TRANSPORTATION POLICY BOARD FINDS THAT THE CITY OF SELAH'S TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT IS IN SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS OF THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT. IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT ANY PARTICULAR PART OF THE PLAN THAT HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED TO NOT BE IN COMPLIANCE WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT UPDATE OF THE PLAN.

Fransportation Policy Board Chair	1/18/2017 Date
YVCOG Executive Director	1/18/2017 Date